

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/621,648	MCCALLUM ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kara E Geisel	2877	

All Participants:

- (1) Kara E Geisel.
- (2) Edward Berkowitz, attorney of record.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 February 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
- ☐ Video Conference
- ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No


If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
none.
Claims discussed:

3

Prior art documents discussed:
none.
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
An amendment to claim 3 to change its dependency. See Office Action.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)